(1950) Department

) SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2570

Dated 22.9.50

[Despatch Abstract.]

irrigation - [illegible] - area - Putt
taluk - Arcgapm village - irrigatio
[illegible] - sanction

Land(S.Kanara)Puttur Taluk - Aryapu village - irrigation channel - diversion - petition of Subbayya(Sri)A.P. Sanction requested.

Sri.A.P.Subbayya, a landlord of Aryapu village, Puttur Taluk, owning large extents of wet and garden lands is very enthusiastic in the Grow More Food Activities. He owns lands on either side of the stream called 'Kachara Stream' stretching to a distance of about half a mile and he applied for the diversion of the course of the stream to render it straighten and less dangerous to the lands lying adjacent to the stream. The existing course of the stream, both the poramboke lands and Government assessed waste lands is shown in the sketch enclosed. The diversion of the stream is also marked in it in red. It is stated that this petitioner had tried some years ago by an experimental channel through S.No.29/2 and 104/2 and found that the level of the land from north upto S.No.105/5 is such that the water could be successfully taken and the rugged course of the stream straightened much to his advantage. If the permission is granted he can very easily convert S.Nos.97/3 (poramboke) 97/4 (Hosagame) 99/1 (aw), 97/5A (poramboke thodu) 104/1, (a.w.) and 103/5, (a.w.) 105/2A (por.Thodu), 105/2C (por.Thodu), into paddy fields, besides making use of the water to raise II crop on single crop lands, viz.S.Nos.103/2 105/6 and 105/3 by putting up a dam and by other contrivances. Thus there will be an increased ayacut for the channel water about 17 acres as shown below with an estimated increase

relinquish an extent of 0.59 acres, in S.Nos.105/6B,3B,105/4
& 105/7B from his patta lands. Except for the lands of his
brother Sri.Srinivasa Rao, all adjacent lands belong to the
petitioner. Sri.Srinivasa Rao has no objection to the propo
change of course of the channel and he has given his consent

4. The question was once taken up in 1947, but was not
pursued on account of the ban on transfer from poramboke to
ayan. My predecessor Sri.S.R.Kaiwar,I.C.S., inspected the
site on 29-4-1949 and considered that the diversion should
be permitted. A copy of the detailed notes of inspection ma
by him is enclosed. A complete eyesketch together with a
complete set of statements mentioned in para 6 of the notes o
inspection have also been obtained. The value of the Govern
ment lands to be exchanged have been worked out at Rs.1130/-
and that of the land relinquished by the party at Rs.1057/-
the difference of this viz.Rs.73/- have to be recovered from
the party. The market value, as pointed out in para 2 of th
notes of inspection above proposed by the Tahsildar is adeq

5. (a) I consulted the Executive Engineer, in the matter.
e says that the locality where the small tributory of the
hriya river is proposed to be straightened is more than
5 miles above the site of the Shiriya Anicut, and the inter
f the ayacut lands below the new anicut will not suffer.

(b) I therefore request that the Board may be pleased
o accord sanction (1) for the proposed diversion of the
tream (2) for the acceptance of the exchange of the patta
ands for an equivalent extent of stream bed and also for
utright assignment of the land in excess asked for by the
pplicant and (3) to show an additional concession of not
evying wet assessment for a period of five years from the
ommencement of the fasli succeeding the one in which he i
llowed to enter on the lands and commence the work. All
onnected records of enquiry together with the records of
ttur Tahsildar's D.Dis.2995/46 dated 14-6-1947 are enclo
or reference and

eclamation and cultivation of crops subsequent to this iversion should be estimated;

(4)(a) in the case of the stream bed, a nominal value hould be fixed as it would be very low-lying liable to looding and submersion unless it is filled up with earth:-

(b) the market value of the lands between the stream ed and the new diversion which belongs to Government and hich the petitioner wants for purposes of cultivation hould be estimated at the present market value of dry ands in the vicinity and the deduction made for reclamation charges.

3. It would be safer to obtain Board's sanction:-

(a) for the diversion of the stream;

(b) for the acceptance of the exchange of the patta ands for an equivalent amount of stream bed and also for utright assignment of the land in excess asked for by the pplicant.

4) In view of the heavy expenditure involved mainly y way of labour charges in the course of excavation for the ew stream diversion and filling up of the old stream bed, s well as the expenditure involved in the reclamation f dry lands (probably by lowering its level) I would make specific recommendation to the Board that no market value eed be collected if the applicant brings the entire area nder foodcrops within the next three years, reckoned from he date on which he is permitted to enter on the lands nd start the work. I would even be inclined to show an dditional concession of not levying wet assessment for a eriod of five years from the commencement of the asli succeeding the one on which he is allowed to enter n the lands and commence the work.

5. I have also considered the desirability of executing his as a Government work in view of the G.M.F.Value. rather feel that this minor jungle stream with no particular importance and water likely to be taken only for 7 acres, t would be unprofitable for Government to embark on it. n the other hand, the waradar will not only be able to make good job of it, but also profit by doing such protection orks in the bends of the stream as revetment to see that and is not eroded.

6. I have also instructed the Tahsildar who was present t the time of my inspection regarding the details I want. eparate sets of statements should be prepared one for the umki lands showing S.No and extent) through which the stream s to be diverted; another statement for patta lands through hich the stream is diverted showing S.Nos. and extent, a hird statement showing the extent of the stream bed o be assigned and a fourth statement showing the lands at he disposal of the Government excluding Kumki lands that is roposed to be assigned for purposes of reclamation and raiing food crops.

7. It should also be stated that I am not recommending G.M.F. lease as it would not be a sufficient incentive to ink so much money. Unless the applicant is given a definite take in these properties, it would not be fair to expect him o incur expenditure which may run to the order of a few thousand rupees.

ii. C.E.(I)'s U.O.No.6346-49 B2 dated 16-6-50.

"The proposed diversion will not be detrimental to the cut scheme now under execution and permission may be nted for diverting water for bringing a small extent 16 acr d by the lector

ERENCE

Submitted to the Government.

The Board requests that the Government may be sanction the proposed diversion of the channel.

3. The Collector recommends that the wet assessment may waived for a period of five years. As the reclamation land can ordinarily be expected to be completed in three rs, the Board recommends that the assessment may be waived

T.M.

From

The Assistant Secretary to Govt,
Revenue Department.

T.M issued 6/2/47

To

The Assistant Secretary, Bd of Revenue (L.R)

—

Irrigation - (South Kanara) — Puttur taluk —
Angalpu village — Irrigation channel —
Diversion — petition of Subbayya, Sri A.P —
Bd's Ref. No. B. 11668/49-2 d 16.7.50

ppl - 4 antes

—

please send very urgently,
in ppl antes for reference, the Sketch referred to
in the South Kanara Collector's
1 - 4 antes letter No. C. 22927/48 B5 d 6.10.49 submitted

P.T.O

Report with the Bds not visited above.

27.7.50

27.7.50

P. C. to go

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
SUBJECT

IRRIGATION - (South Kanara) - Puthur Taluk - Aryapu Village - Irrigation Channel - Diversion - Petition of Subbayya (Sri) A.P Sketch - Submitted.

Ref.B.11668/49-3

Station: Madras Dated 1st August 1950.

From:

Sri A.F. Mascarenhas, SECRETARY,
Board of Revenue, Chepauk, Madras.

Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read: Government Telephonic Message dated 29-7-50

REFERENCE:

The connected file containing the sketch referred to by the Collector is submitted herewith. The sketch is at page 65 of the file.

For Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, Madras with the connected records.

LNS/1.8.

Rev. dept.

[C. NO. 51308 H/50] Read :-

CF

from the Bd of Rev. Ref. NO. B. 11668/49-2 dated 18-7-50.

G.O.MS. NO. 2570 dated 22-9-50.

Vide X on p2 CF and Y on p4 CF

On the circumstances reported, the Govt sanction the diversion by Sri A.P. Subbayya of the 'Kachara stream' in Anyapin village, puttur taluk, South Kanara district, in order to straighten its course.

Vide S on p3 CF

2. Consequent on the diversion of the stream, the Govt also sanction the assignment to Sri A.P. Subbayya of the lands covered by the before diversion bed of the stream and the relinquishment by him of his patta lands covered by the bed of the after diversion stream subject to the collection of the difference in the market values of the two sets of lands.

Vide p129 of (A)

Vide p128 of (A)

Vide para 4 on p2 CF and p122 of (A)

Vide para 6 of the Collr's letter on p2 CF and the Bds Rek on p4 CF

3. The Govt also sanction the waiver of wet assessment on the stream bed lands assigned to Sri A.P. Subbayya, in accordance with the orders in para 2 above, for a period of three years from fasli 1361, in order to encourage the growth of food crops on these lands.

To 1) Vide IV on p3 CF the Bd of Rev (L.R) [with 2 Collr's files returned] - Sic (A) + (B)

2) 26/8/50. By 26/8/50

29/8/50

Note :- The draft order submitted above is in accordance with the Collr's and the Bd's recommendations at X on p2 CF and Y on p4 CF respectively. with reference

P.T.O

Accts

6 para 3 of the Bd's reference on p4cf the waiver of net assessment may be sanctioned for a period of 3 years ~~with effect~~ from fasli 1361 – Please see, in this connection, proposal(3) in para 6 of the Collr's letter on ~~pp1-2cf~~ 1-2 C.F. the file may be sent to P.W.D and Fin for acceptance of the draft order.

FW
26/8/50.

By
26.8.50

IS
29/8/50

Dr
Ur
2.9.50

Thro' F
DS
28/8/50
P.W.D.
Fin
28/8/50
28/8/50

P.W.D

Fin Rem U.O.5130 & 11/50
P.W.D(S) dt 5.9.50.

AS.

The draft and note on pp1-2 above may be perused, the draft order on p1 ~~and~~ accepted and the file passed on to Fin.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT II
J
6 SEP 1950
4183
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

JKS
7/9/50
7/9/50.

11.9.50.

D/S.
11.9.50

SENIOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT
12SEP1950 /13.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

P.W.D. 0.0.180.

Fin (Accts)
4183 J/50-1
11.9.50.

The draft order on pp 1-2 above may be accepted.

Rev
14.9.50

SECRETARIAT
21 SEP 1950
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

JKS
18/9/50

Rev
18/9/50

Thro' P.W.R
14.9.50.

Rev

Fin no 79012 Accts/

The draft order on p1 above may issue

Dr
19.9.50

Ur
20/9

HM (LR)

16.9.50

GENERAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
6548/54